UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,249	03/24/2005	Christophe Genevois	740612-189	8701
LAW OFFICES OF STUART J. FRIEDMAN 28930 RIDGE ROAD MT. AIRY, MD 21771			EXAMINER	
			KIM, EDWARD J	
MT. AIRY, MD 21771			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2455	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/19/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/511,249	GENEVOIS, CHRISTOPHE	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	EDWARD J. KIM	2455	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed I the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u> . 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-5,8 and 10 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5, 8, and 10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ccepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/511,249 Page 2

Art Unit: 2455

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to the amendment filed on 06/02/2009.

2. Claims 1-5, 8, and 10 are pending in this office action. Claims 1 and 8 have been amended.

Response to Amendment

3. The Examiner presents new grounds of rejections in view of the amended claims.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to all pending claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

For further clarification:

Giachetti discloses standards that has been designed by Digital Video Broadcasting (DVB) project in Europe, and the technology regarding Conditional Access systems that incorporates a common interface and detachable modules, such as smart cards (Giachetti, Abstract). Giachetti discloses various technical solutions in fig.4, wherein various possible locations of the common interface between the decoder box and the detachable are disclosed in Fig.4. Giachetti further discloses that *it is more cost-effective* for both the end-user/customer and the manufacturer to implement the CASS (CA system) on detachable modules, such as smart cards, wherein the detachable module cryptographic algorithms, secrets keys, and entitlement of the customer, rather than implementing the system so that multiple CASS is available on the decoder box with detachable modules including cryptographic algorithms, secrets keys, and

Application/Control Number: 10/511,249 Page 3

Art Unit: 2455

entitlement of the customer (Giachetti, Abstract, Fig.2, Fig.3, Fig.4, pg.837 left column 2nd paragraph- pg.838 left column 6th paragraph, pg.838 right column 5th paragraph, Conclusion). The decoder box is disclosed to be comprised of a common platform, universal to all service providers.

Schooneveld discloses the standardization of conditional access systems for digital pay television, wherein high level of commonality further studied (Schooneveld, Abstract).

Schooneveld further discloses the use of common platform in decoder boxes (aka set-top boxes), and the use of smart cards, wherein he card will only issue the secret key when authorized via various known methods, such as transmission of EMMs, ECMs, etc. (Schooneveld, pg.218-219. Authorization, licensing is disclosed.)

Kamperman discloses a method wherein each conditional access component includes a filter unit for filtering out the specific EMMs of conditional access systems (Kamperman, p.47 Right Column: 2nd paragraph, p.49 Left Column: 3rd paragraph, Fig.2 ("ECM, EMM Section Filter" component). According to Kamperman, EMMs are filtered out of the data stream.) enabled on the component and a verifier unit for the verification of access rights defined by the filtered specific EMMs (Kamperman et al. p.48 Right Column: 2nd paragraph. Kamperman discloses that the filtered out EMMs are used for authorizing the use of a key for every separate conditional access system, for determining the access rights of the user.).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 8, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Giachetti et al. ("A Common Conditional Access Interface for Digital Video Broadcasting Decoders", *IEEE Transactions on Consumer Electronics*, August 1995), hereinafter referred to as Giachetti, in view of Schooneveld ("Standardization of Conditional Access Systems for Digital Pay Television", *Philips Journal of Research*, 1996).

Giachetti discloses standards that has been designed by Digital Video Broadcasting (DVB) project in Europe, and the technology regarding Conditional Access systems that incorporates a common interface and detachable modules, such as smart cards (Giachetti, Abstract).

Regarding claim 1, Giachetti discloses, a method of operating a conditional access network wherein providers distribute valuable contents over the network and end-users are allowed to access such valuable contents in function of individual access rights, wherein valuable contents are made available to the end-users by way of a plurality of different conditional access systems (Giachetti, Abstract, pg.837 left column 3rd paragraph – right column 4th paragraph, pg.838 left column, fig.2, fig.4), the method comprising the steps of:

configuring a generic conditional access component having a basic functionality common to all conditional access systems and a plurality of particular conditional access systems, said plurality of particular conditional access systems being preloaded but initially disabled (Giachetti, Abstract, pg.837 left column 2nd paragraph – right column 4th paragraph, fig.4, pg.838 left column 1st-6th paragraph, pg.840 right column 2nd-5th paragraph.);

providing the generic conditional access component to an end-user (Giachetti, Abstract, pg.837 left column 2nd paragraph – right column 4th paragraph, fig.4, pg.838 left column 1st-6th paragraph, pg.840 right column 2nd-5th paragraph.);

inserting a smart card comprising a conditional access identification; identifying a particular preloaded conditional access system to be used by the conditional access component (Giachetti, Abstract, pg.837 left column 2nd paragraph – right column 4th paragraph, fig.4, pg.838 left column 1st-6th paragraph, pg.840 right column 2nd-5th paragraph.);

acquiring by the end-user of a license related to the identified particular preloaded conditional access system; loading said license into the conditional access component; and enabling the particular preloaded conditional access system after successful verification of the license (Giachetti, Abstract, pg.837 left column 2nd paragraph – right column 4th paragraph, fig.4, pg.838 left column 1st-6th paragraph, pg.840 right column 2nd-5th paragraph. Giachetti discloses that the smart card/detachable security device contains secret keys and stores the entitlement of the customer.).

Although Giachetti discloses the above features, Giachetti fails to disclose the license authorization in more detail. Schooneveld discloses the standardization of conditional access systems for digital pay television, wherein high level of commonality further studied (Schooneveld, Abstract). Schooneveld further discloses the use of common platform in decoder boxes (aka set-top boxes), and the use of smart cards, wherein he card will only issue the secret key when authorized via various known methods, such as transmission of EMMs, ECMs, etc. (Schooneveld, pg.218-219. Authorization, licensing is disclosed.). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Giachetti with those of Schooneveld

to utilize licensing authorization methods such as transmission of EMMs, ECMs, etc. One would have been motivated to do so, since as disclosed by Schooneveld, this was a popular/standardized method utilized in digital video broadcasting systems at the time the invention was made.

Regarding claim 2, Giachetti and Schooneveld disclosed the limitations, as described in claim 1, and further discloses the use of digital transport stream that contains Entitlement Management Messages (EMMs) (Schooneveld, pg.218-219. Authorization, licensing is disclosed.).

Regarding claim 4, Giachetti and Schooneveld disclosed the limitations, as described in claim 3, and further discloses, a method wherein the valuable contents in the transport stream are scrambled, each conditional access component has a descrambler adapted to process a scrambled transport stream into a clear transport stream, and the descrambler is enabled or disabled in function of a successful or unsuccessful verification, respectively, of the access rights (Giachetti, Abstract, pg.837 left column 2nd paragraph – right column 4th paragraph, fig.4, pg.838 left column 1st-6th paragraph, pg.840 right column 2nd-5th paragraph.) (Schooneveld, pg.218-219).

Regarding claim 5, Giachetti and Schooneveld disclosed the limitations, as described in claims 1 to 4, and further discloses, a method wherein each conditional access system has an associated application for execution by the conditional access component (Giachetti, Abstract, pg.837 left column 2nd paragraph – right column 4th paragraph, fig.4, pg.838 left column 1st-6th paragraph, pg.840 right column 2nd-5th paragraph.) (Schooneveld, pg.218-219).

Regarding claim 8, Rabne teaches, a conditional access component for use in a conditional access network wherein a provider distributes valuable contents over the network and

end-users are allowed to access such valuable contents in function of individual access rights defined by a user license, wherein said component comprises a first software module embedding a basic functionality common to a plurality of different conditional access systems used in the network, said module allowing a particular identified conditional access system to be enabled subject to successful verification of a license therefor, a plurality of specific application software, each constituting a particular conditional access system in conjunction with the basic functionality a non-volatile memory for storing said plurality of preloaded specific application software, said particular conditional access systems being initially disabled in the non-volatile memory, a smart card inserted into said component, means on said smart card for identifying a particular conditional access system, means for acquiring a license for the particular identified preloaded conditional access system, and means for selectively enabling the particular identified preloaded conditional access system subject to a successful verification of the corresponding license (Giachetti, Abstract, pg.837 left column 2nd paragraph – right column 4th paragraph, fig.4, pg.838 left column 1st-6th paragraph, pg.840 right column 2nd-5th paragraph.).

Although Giachetti discloses the above features, Giachetti fails to disclose the license authorization in more detail. Schooneveld discloses the standardization of conditional access systems for digital pay television, wherein high level of commonality further studied (Schooneveld, Abstract). Schooneveld further discloses the use of common platform in decoder boxes (aka set-top boxes), and the use of smart cards, wherein he card will only issue the secret key when authorized via various known methods, such as transmission of EMMs, ECMs, etc. (Schooneveld, pg.218-219. Authorization, licensing is disclosed.). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Giachetti with those of Schooneveld

to utilize licensing authorization methods such as transmission of EMMs, ECMs, etc. One would have been motivated to do so, since as disclosed by Schooneveld, this was a popular/standardized method utilized in digital video broadcasting systems at the time the invention was made.

Regarding claim 10, Giachetti and Schooneveld disclosed the limitations as described in claim 8, and further discloses, a conditional access component wherein the valuable contents are distributed in a digital transport stream that contains Entitlement Management Messages

"EMMs" specific to each conditional access system (Schooneveld, pg.218-219)

7. Claims 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Giachetti et al.

("A Common Conditional Access Interface for Digital Video Broadcasting Decoders", *IEEE*Transactions on Consumer Electronics, August 1995), hereinafter referred to as Giachetti, in view of Schooneveld ("Standardization of Conditional Access Systems for Digital Pay

Television", *Philips Journal of Research*, 1996), in further view of Kamperman et al., hereinafter Kamperman ("Conditional access system interoperability through soft downloading", *Consumer Electronics*, *IEEE*, Feb 2001).

Regarding claim 3, Giachetti and Schoonevel teaches the limitations, as described in claim 2, and further discloses the method of claim 2, however, fails to disclose a filter unit for filtering out EMMs.

Kamperman discloses a method wherein each conditional access component includes a filter unit for filtering out the specific EMMs of conditional access systems (Kamperman, p.47 Right Column: 2nd paragraph, p.49 Left Column: 3rd paragraph, Fig.2 ("ECM, EMM Section

Filter" component). According to Kamperman, EMMs are filtered out of the data stream.) enabled on the component and a verifier unit for the verification of access rights defined by the filtered specific EMMs (Kamperman et al. p.48 Right Column: 2nd paragraph. Kamperman discloses that the filtered out EMMs are used for authorizing the use of a key for every separate conditional access system, for determining the access rights of the user.).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Giachetti and Schooneveld to include a filter unit as taught by Kamperman. One would be motivated to do so to filter out the EMMs accordingly from the data stream and conduct verification for determining the access rights of the user.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward J. Kim whose telephone number is (571) 270-3228. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30am - 5:00pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar can be reached on (571) 272-4006. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/511,249 Page 10

Art Unit: 2455

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Edward J Kim/ Examiner, Art Unit 2455

/saleh najjar/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2455